Sanitized - Approved For Release : CIA-R

Letters to The Times

Economic Challenge

Capabilities of Russia Urged

is a member of the staff of the Rus-University.

Allen Dulles, in his speech re- 3 to 4 per cent, one finds that in ported on April 9, clearly intended to 1970 Soviet industrial output will alert the American people to the "false tranwhich serve as quilizer(s)." It is therefore regret- catch up to us in aggregate industable that the esteemed director of trial output in about twenty years, the Central Intelligence Agency in- i. e., before 1980. advertently provided a "false tranquillizer" of his own when he stated tunately carried as the lead item by overtones.

This is especially regrettable since the 1970 comparison made by C. I. A.'s analysts is open to serious ounts. There are two key elements

First, an estimate of the current (1958) ratio of Soviet to United States industrial output, and second, an estimate of the expected rates of industrial growth in each country.

As for the current ratio, Mr. Dulles stated: "Our own analyses of Soviet industrial output last year concluded that it was not more than 40 per cent of our own." It is difficult to understand what was meant by this statement, for the analysis referred to did not pertain to 1958 at all, but rather to 1956.

Ratio of Growth

In a speech reported in The Times April 29, 1958, Mr. Dulles said that Soviet industrial output in 1956 was 40 per cent of ours. In the intervening two years, however, it has been claimed that Russian industry has grown at an annual rate of 10 per cent, while our industrial output in 1958 was actually 6 per cent lower than it was in 1956. This implies that starting from C. I. A.'s own calculations for 1956, in 1958 Soviet industrial output was more than 50 per cent of ours.

of the Russian claims and also Realistic Appraisal of Industrial ignore the drop in United States output, it would be unwarranted to estimate 1958 Soviet industrial output The writer of the following letter at any less than 45 per cent of ours.

Using this 45 per cent ratio, and sian Research Center of Harvard the quite "sane" estimate of expected University. 8 to 10 per cent and expected United TO THE EDITOR OF THE NEW YORK TIMES: States rate of industrial growth of be from 70 to 100 per cent of ours. danger of the Russian economic Moreover, even in the most favorable challenge. He warned against the case (Russian rate of industrial spread of "comforting illusion(s)" growth, 8 per cent; United States, 4 per cent) the Russians threaten to

The conclusion to be drawn is that our industrial supremacy is not that Soviet industrial output in 1970 something which, at present rates will be only 60 per cent of ours. This of growth, will last indefinitely. In comforting proclamation was unforanother ten to twenty years. the press (including The New York Assurances to the contrary, how-Times) and the radio, thus distorting ever unintended, do not perform a the meaning of the speech, giving it service to the American people. soothing rather than stimulating Surely the lesson of sputnik is that we must be realistic in our appraisal of Russian capabilities. It is necessary now for us to assess realistically the Soviet economic challenge and upon this realistic assessment build our response to this challenge.

> HERBERT S. LEVINE Cambridge, Mass., April 10, 1959

FOIAb3b